USA Citizens Network — Interview Transcript

October 11, 2026 | Clint Curtis • Jeannette Wrobel • Robert N. Rust

Transcribed with Whisper (user-supplied SRT). Original speech preserved; speaker labels inferred by comparison with an earlier transcript and marked unverified where uncertain.

Robert N Rust [00:30] Welcome once again to the viewers of USA Citizens Network. An organization that is dedicated to bringing up subjects of great importance with speakers that have significant credentials but are not, but often have been ignored by the mainstream media and others to give them a chance to speak to the particular subject. We cover a number of things, medical independence, education, and the one that we're on today is election integrity. We have with us two people. It's really unique. In this whole area, all of us that hear about having a fair election have been concerned as to where the truth really lies. We have a young lady who is a young single mom in eastern Pennsylvania, Jeanette Roble, who, besides carrying a job and being a mother, has done an

Robert N Rust [01:50] amazing job of getting herself involved at the local level.

Speaker not verified [02:01] Initially, very much in favor of one side. In that particular case, she was hoping to see the first female president in Hillary Clinton. But as she got further and further into things, questions that she was asking, and this is from a point of her actually working in a significant manner at the local level,

Robert N Rust [02:23] she found that she wasn't getting good answers, and whether it came from both the Democratic or Republican side. So we have, in this case, a truth-speaker, and we have a truth-finder and an individual on a national level who's testified to Congress as to the truth of the matter in terms of the problems with electronic voting machines. He was the originator of the algorithm that went into those machines. He's been at this for over, going on three decades now, an attorney and a computer programmer, Mr. Clint Curtis. So to really focus on our discussion today, what I'm going to be doing is addressing to Clint questions that I know that Jeanette has. As succinctly as I can, allow him to respond and then turn to Jeanette to ask whatever she wants

Robert N Rust [03:33] to ask of him, the way she's done at the local level time and time again, without any fear. Okay, with that, unless there's anything further from either one of our

Speaker not verified [03:49] two guests, let me turn first to, let's look at Pennsylvania, Clint. From your perspective, Clint, what concerns you the most about our reliance on electronic voting machines?

Clint Curtis [04:02] Well, electronic voting machines, as you know, are absolutely horrible. I wrote the initial prototype and it meant a very initial prototype for the first machine rolled out was the request to have language in it that could allow for the votes to be flipped. So using electronic voting machines is just generally a bad idea. And so I told him way back then, you know, you can't check it. What we did in Shasta County, because I'm, I would be in Shasta County, is we've actually put video above the ballots. So you see every ballot before it goes in the machine that effectively neuters the machine. It doesn't mean you have good ballots. It means that your total will be this correct when you're done and a citizen can check it.

Clint Curtis [04:46] Without that, you are completely lost. So you kind of need to push to have that video of the ballots pre-machine because then if the machine cheats you, it will be caught. And then they can't make voting machines anymore and they make a lot of money at that. So they're going to play it safe that way.

Robert N Rust [05:04] I think real quick for Jeanette's purposes, your current position in Shasta County is what?

Clint Curtis [05:09] I am the register of voters, which is the supervisor of elections and the county clerk.

Robert N Rust [05:15] So you're talking to someone in California, Jeanette, who has the responsibility to make sure that the voting is done in a proper fashion. You heard his response as it relates to the electronic voting machine. What would you ask further, Jeanette?

Jeannette Wrobel [05:33] I guess really the only other question that for that particular case is if there were recorded, how they're just recording those ballots. There's no tracking of those ballots. So they can essentially, unless you actually have the number there to count, the paper ballots to count against the video, you can add as many ballots into that. You can rescan stacks of ballots in those machines with no issue, correct?

Clint Curtis [05:59] Absolutely. You can do anything you want by continuing to restack it. But if you've marked them correctly and you have oversight, we have observers that can just sit right next to the people to actually watch how it's done. And we get them all bagged up and they're tagged so there's 50 apiece and they're all marked. So as you look at those tags, they could not put that bag back through. They'd have to have some other nefarious system that would basically re-tag the bag to put it back through. And then it no longer matches your incoming because as the ballots come in, you have a group of people that sit there and count them and they keep a log. Now, unfortunately, they usually don't match

Clint Curtis [06:46] the incoming, which is another real concern.

Speaker not verified [06:56] Riverside County down in California, they had 611,000 ballots logged as

Clint Curtis [06:59] coming in, and when they were done counting, they had 665,000 ballots counted. And they've been arguing over if the sheriff could even count the ballots to check them. So, you know, it's dirty. It's just dirty. And I don't know how bad it is in Pennsylvania, but California doesn't even care if it's dirty. They just are proud of it, I think.

Jeannette Wrobel [07:19] Yeah.

Robert N Rust [07:21] All right. Well, let me turn to a different question. That is pre-election testing. And here, Tlingit, can logic and accuracy testing before an election establish that voting software will operate in the same way during the actual election?

Clint Curtis [07:42] It's absolutely worthless. It is a show that doesn't mean anything. Generally, when you do a logic and accuracy test, you actually don't even test the software. You test a test software. So, when you have your ballot billed, you'd have to see it to believe it. But anyway, you put it all together and you build all these ballots and you have the software.

Speaker not verified [08:05] And it produces either test, official, or sample.

Clint Curtis [08:09] So, you run the test to reset all your machines to make sure they're counting correctly. Then, you take off the test and you put the official on, which never gets tested. But even if it did, you don't know what's going to trigger the software in the background. So, it's absolutely, completely worthless. It's a waste of people's time. You know, we post it so people can come observe, but I tell them, "Don't even waste your time. You're completely wasting your time. You're not seeing anything of value. " It is a dog and pony show.

Robert N Rust [08:40] Sunette, would you have any questions about that?

Jeannette Wrobel [08:44] Not really questions. I agree. I mean, there was a big thing in the paper here just a few days ago saying how to, you know, open most transparent election. Nothing to worry about. Everybody come in and see the first ever, you know, LNA testing. Everybody can test this out and the public's free to come in here. And I was like, "That's such a facade. That's just a joke. It's just a show. " I don't really know if I have a question about it, but I agree from...

Robert N Rust [09:11] Well, back when I was a programmer, I was a prototyper.

Clint Curtis [09:17] So, people would tell us what we want and then we would build the program to run like they want to see it. And then we'd build the code behind it. But on a lot of those, the program never actually worked. It actually just did what we expected it to do. And then later we built it. And that's exactly what you can see with any program is we're going to show you that it works. And if you like it, then something else can happen. But if you don't see the code, if you don't track it, if you don't blueprint the machine, it's nothing. It's a little magic show for fun.

Jeannette Wrobel [09:50] That's what my assumption was. So, to confirm, like, if anything, everything can go great during this whole big show and production. But really, at the end of the day, it's the day of, the day before, day after that. Any changes can be made behind the scenes. So, I guess that's what you're saying too, right?

Clint Curtis [10:04] Yeah. And they don't even test production. They test test, which is a completely different setup. So, it's really crazy. Yeah. Not giving you the warm and fuzzies, am I?

Jeannette Wrobel [10:19] No, it's just good to know, like, to hear it from you because it's basically like, you know, just something that, you know, I personally paid attention to and observed and it's not one or two or three. It's been several elections that I've paid attention to, given special elections and things like that. I haven't been involved long, but just, yeah, it's basically the conclusions that I've drawn. And in no means is this a bipartisan issue, this is, you know, or a partisan issue. This is, this is both parties, you know, that this is happening with and things just don't, things make more and more sense, everything you're saying. I'm like, yeah, that was basically my hypothesis based on all the information that I could see.

Clint Curtis [10:59] So, the worst part is that the people supervising the elections don't even know. They don't understand that what they're doing is just giving you a show. They think they're actually probably doing something because they're following this book and they're following this script. And hey, hey, I got the right results. And I say, yay, big deal. You've got results on something, doesn't even matter.

Jeannette Wrobel [11:19] So, they're not even the ones really that's, that's, that's changing the information or doing it.

Clint Curtis [11:26] No, no, that would be at a much bigger level. Someone needs to key that some way and it could be keyed a million different ways.

Jeannette Wrobel [11:35] Like the company can key it?

Clint Curtis [11:37] What was that?

Jeannette Wrobel [11:39] Like the company themselves can key it in?

Clint Curtis [11:41] The company could cheat, you could get an outside source. Most of them are tagged to a database. All of them are pretty much tagged to a database, which means that you have a hack coming in, everything could be perfect and the hack could still make the change and no one would catch it. In the prototype I wrote, I rewrote the log files, I rewrote the database, I rewrote the time structure. So, once I made the flip, even the prototype, because it's that simple, you could never catch it. Impossible. No matter how much you checked it after the fact, you would not see the flip. That's why you can't use computers.

Jeannette Wrobel [12:18] Yeah. Oh, you're muted. You're muted, Robert.

Clint Curtis [12:34] You're very quiet.

Jeannette Wrobel [12:35] Yeah, I think his microphone is muted.

Robert N Rust [12:38] There we go. All right. You're just leading me into your next, I know question is very vital to you, Jeanette, and that is you've just discussed how the voting system can be manipulated. But, Kurt, how can you prove it? And I'm particularly thinking here in Pennsylvania

Speaker not verified [13:01] that what evidence would Jeanette or anyone need

Robert N Rust [13:05] before concluding that the election fraud actually occurred in Lehigh County, Pennsylvania?

Clint Curtis [13:12] Well, unless you can actually videotape it ahead of time, there's no way to get to the proof, because they lock you out of the source code, they lock you out of observation, they lock you out of being able to redo the ballots. So, you're pretty much secured away from being able to investigate anything. It's kind of like give me the proof and I'll show you nothing. So, you have no chance. So, that's why it's important that the president pushes in something where you can see the ballots ahead of time before they touch the machine, because once it goes in the machine, everything is off the table. And people who think that, you know, you can use ballot images, they don't understand.

Clint Curtis [13:53] Programmers are the ones that make those images, and we can remake them, just like we remake the logs. So, if I want an image to be different, I can make it different. Those don't really exist. It's a matter of the programmer reading it, the points, the dots into an array, and then reproducing it, either as it was or as he feels like, right? And then the program could go in and do that at the same time. So, there really is nothing electronic that is preserved that you can actually look at to get to a proof level. With the videos, you can go, "Well, let's see, I counted this precinct and it says I have 500 votes for Fred, and the machines said I have 800 votes for Fred.

Clint Curtis [14:34] " I now have a problem that has proof.

Speaker not verified [14:37] What it doesn't do is keep the counterfeit ballots out, but that's another question.

Robert N Rust [14:42] Yeah, we're going to get to that one.

Speaker not verified [14:44] Oh, yeah, oh, the heightener?

Robert N Rust [14:46] Jeanette?

Jeannette Wrobel [14:48] Yes, so, I have one question sort of with this. So, I was just reviewing the, we do have the hand count here. So, I was just reviewing the primaries hand count. And so, it has a column for the tabulation and then a column for the hand count. Seven precincts were pulled at random. I was actually there for it. We didn't even finish counting my whole precinct, which was noted in the, you know, results. There was two other precincts that, you know, said tabulation, confusion, and not tabulated. However, basically every category, for the most part, had, basically every category for pretty much every, again,

Speaker not verified [15:45] this was for, oh, my slides fell off, for the

Jeannette Wrobel [15:48] primary, was equal, you know, for Congress, Congress, state security, for governor, governor, Shapiro, Shapiro, and then you get to C committee. And that was like, that was sort of, that's where, you know, I ran for, and it was with both parties. Like, nothing is adding up. This one's down here. The hand count is just completely different from, you know, everything, nothing, I don't understand.

Speaker not verified [16:15] Like, why would, I don't understand it. Why is the hand, isn't the whole point the hand count supposed to meet the tabulation number, the tabulation number supposed to meet the hand count? Like, aren't those supposed to be the same?

Clint Curtis [16:28] Yeah, they should be the same. Obviously, you know, they didn't do a good job with their programming because a lot of people, now, we don't do that in Shasta, Shasta, we pick them from a little ball, you know, so they actually a little bit random, although in reality, there's really no random. But anyway, you pick it from a ball. But most places have the computer generate what those random numbers are going to be drawn, believe it or not. So the computer gets to pick its own races. Even if you find something wrong, they generally ignore it and say, well, that's this. So they make an excuse for it, and it doesn't matter. There was a race down in Georgia, a lady lost, came in last place.

Clint Curtis [17:10] She was checking through, and in her precinct, she got no votes. And she was sure that she voted for herself, and she kind of hoped her husband did too. But you never know that, right? So anyway, she asked us, they checked it by hand. She went in, found out they had miscounted everything in her race, and she actually won by thousands of votes. What do you think would have happened after that? They would have checked the other races, checked the other machines, didn't happen. Well, your race is fixed, moving on. And that's what happens every time. It's like, oh, that must be an input error. That must be a crow flew overhead while I was programming, something, right? Just make an excuse and move on.

Clint Curtis [17:53] So the machines are caught all the time. It just doesn't matter. They don't pay any attention to it.

Jeannette Wrobel [17:59] Yeah, yeah. I mean, that's the same issue we had with someone else here that ran as a write-in for a state committee, but also for the local committee. And so they had been a write-in for themselves, and they were also at the precinct all day getting write-ins. And when the -- they needed to attend to be on the committee, when he went -- just to be on the county committee. Forget about the state committee. That was a long shot anyway. But county committee, he had 19 people write in. He only needed 10. He went -- they said that he didn't even -- that there was just 10 randoms. So I said, go down there and look and see -- those 10 randoms could be your name.

Jeannette Wrobel [18:36] And he asked for his -- now, this is a shared precinct, so it's a location. You go in and there's two precincts. He walks in and -- I'm sorry, so when he went to get the results from the election office, they gave him his precinct. His wasn't in there. And they're like, how would you know? You know what your bubble looks like? And he goes, no. I know what my handwriting is for writing in my name, and it's not in this precinct. I want to see the other precinct that's in there at least. And they handed him the other -- and fair enough, it was in that other one. And they said, nope, it won't count because this isn't the same precinct.

Jeannette Wrobel [19:13] And then it was like, well, how could you possibly be voting in a precinct that someone's not registered at? How's my vote counting? I thought you can't vote in a precinct that you're not on the voter rolls at.

Speaker not verified [19:24] And don't know.

Jeannette Wrobel [19:26] So --

Clint Curtis [19:27] >> You're not supposed to. They should have been on the at roster. They should have looked it up, something. But that's why the video, before it touches the machine, is so important. Because with the video, it's live streamed and it's recorded. So people get it instantly. So they don't even need to fool around with that whole 1% manual audit because everybody could go in and check their own race. Check their own precinct. You wouldn't have to pay millions of dollars.

Jeannette Wrobel [19:53] >> How do we get that video?

Clint Curtis [19:55] >> That video is on our website.

Jeannette Wrobel [19:57] >> Can we get it here?

Speaker not verified [19:59] >> Yes.

Robert N Rust [20:00] >> Sure. Sure. And just to jump in, this podcast interview for the people that are listening will have how to make -- get into Clint's website. But also how to reach you, Jeanette. So you'll have that information. Let me jump on further. What about mail-in ballots, Clint? I know that Jeanette has a real concern here from everything from the applications through the drop boxes and chain of custody and verification and final counting. What is your thinking? Should we do away with the mail-in ballots except for very defined purposes?

Speaker not verified [20:46] >> Yes.

Clint Curtis [20:47] Yes. They are horrible. There is a signature verification which is almost worthless. You're not actually verifying signatures. You take a three-hour course to see if they cross their T the same. Well, anybody with a brain can figure out how to cross a T the same, right? It's not that hard. If you have access to the voter rolls, you can do that.

Speaker not verified [21:07] Now, in Shasta County in 2026, although we had

Clint Curtis [21:10] the ballots, we got a ton of counterfeit ballots. The paper weight wasn't right. Some of them were rougher than others. They smelled funny like they had just been printed. And a couple even came in without the watermark at the top. So, you know, the problem is once they go in, how do you get them out? How do you know who the bad voters are? We had the FBI come in, we had DHS come in, we had the DOJ come in. So they're looking at this. But what you need to do basically is have a very limited amount, you know, of those, which they have to re-up like every couple years so you have a new signature. You know, Florida does that right now.

Clint Curtis [21:52] You have to re-up every two years to get a ballot. And that way you can control it better. In person voting, they have an ID, you show an ID. Now in California, they have no ID. I can come in and I can vote for you. And there's nothing I can do about that even if I know that the person coming in isn't you.

Speaker not verified [22:12] I'd have an old 75-year-old man going to vote for her saying it's her and I have nothing to do to keep them from voting. They get to vote. Yay, California. So that has to be locked down too. But, you know, hopefully this whole counterfeit ballot thing will shed a little light and we can stop that nationwide.

Robert N Rust [22:32] Which would you not be doing at the local level? Who should she be speaking to? Whose door should she be knocking on?

Clint Curtis [22:42] For what?

Robert N Rust [22:44] To get rid of the mail-in ballots except for very specific legitimate purposes.

Clint Curtis [22:50] Basically, according to Article I, Section 4, you've read it, right? Basically it says that Congress can make laws regulating elections throughout the country. The only thing they can't change is where you select the senators. I don't know what that means. Please just select the senators. I don't know what that's important for, but that's actually in there. So they could actually make this change. You could also make it as part of HAVA, which is an existing regulation. You could do it through a rule change. So all this stuff is very doable. I would say call the White House and stay on them. I know the president actually cares. There's a lot of people inside his administration that do not. I'm sure you've met that with both parties.

Clint Curtis [23:33] It's like for someone it's just a social club. It's got nothing to do with anything else.

Robert N Rust [23:41] Okay. Clint, if you could redesign the Pennsylvania election system, what would you do? Paper ballots, electronic tabulation, hand counting. What audits, what would you recommend done? And when you're speaking to Jeanette, so also think about how she can take your answers and put them to work.

Clint Curtis [24:11] Well, pre-lapse is a waste of time, right? Save your money, save your time. Auditing is a waste of time because people aren't doing anything with it anyway once they catch it. So if they simply run the ballots on a video and give it out freely to the people so you see everything that's going on before they run it through the machines, that will catch the machines. And then you, of course, have to have some sort of a team that can respond to do analysis in case there are some sort of counterfeit ballots coming in and prosecute them. Because, you know, most people don't get prosecuted even when they get caught, which is a problem. Now, if you can get away from the machines altogether and you're not going

Clint Curtis [24:50] to do that for a couple cycles because people have, you know, they're lazy. Let's just put it that way. People don't want a handicap, they don't have to. Other countries already do. Germany, 65 million people hand count one night. All you have to do is you have to have 1% of the people that are voting do the hand count. That's the key. And if you get that through, what they use is basically the same system we use for jury pools. Draw people in, you're going to count that night. But you don't have to do jury duty. And so in Germany, you get more people signing up to hand count than you ever do to do jury duty because they don't want to do jury duty.

Clint Curtis [25:27] Who does? That's how I redesign it, not just Pennsylvania, but all across the country.

Robert N Rust [25:34] Jeanette, how would you follow up on that answer?

Jeannette Wrobel [25:41] I mean, we just had the mail and ballot box, the scan boxes now, those Renbeck boxes now just brought in to Lehigh County. That's starting in a couple of weeks. That was just put in. So there's many things that are feeling very much out of control. And it's going to be a few cycles, like he said, because I mean, even these are now in place till 2030. So one thing I can say is we are getting more people from the community showing up and saying things and doing things.

Speaker not verified [26:05] So maybe there's a possible way for me to know how to get that camera for here.

Jeannette Wrobel [26:10] Or is that something we just have to have passed in our commissioner meeting or something like that for the have that camera here?

Clint Curtis [26:18] It is. They're dirt cheap. Basically, all we use are cell phone cameras because they're really good cameras, but you don't even have to have a good cell phone. They don't have to be connected to anything because you use this through a program called Droid Can, which will send it out to a computer and you can live stream it or do anything you want with it. And you can get phones with cameras, phones that aren't necessarily good, use the A12's best, good cameras, 40 megafixels. You can get them for like 30 bucks.

Speaker not verified [26:46] So we did Alcasta County for $600 with a $4 million budget.

Jeannette Wrobel [26:52] So we want them at each precinct.

Clint Curtis [26:55] If you're going to do your counting in the precinct, if you do your counting central, then you would want them in central.

Jeannette Wrobel [27:01] Okay. And all right.

Clint Curtis [27:04] What you want to do is you want to have that ballot on video before it ever goes through the machine.

Jeannette Wrobel [27:09] Okay. So all the, all of them that goes, whatever machine it is, just get the video of them going, just get the video of them. So if it's every precinct.

Clint Curtis [27:18] So the public can count it and make sure that that machine is counting it correctly. It doesn't even cost the county extra to have the counters because the public can do it. Although it might be eventually useful to go ahead and hire public to, you know, to go in and make their little counts. But you wouldn't need the 1% on it because you could do 100% on it, right? So all of the show around trying to prove it's useful when it really isn't, you can eliminate that.

Robert N Rust [27:46] Okay. And Clint, I understand you that going back to Jeanette's question, that we've heard that the ballots are counted at the precinct level and then sent to central. That that would be the preferred way to do it.

Clint Curtis [28:05] Precinct is good. If you can do it, you know, it depends on how you're doing it.

Robert N Rust [28:12] I'm sorry. You say, if you can do it, what do you mean?

Clint Curtis [28:16] Well, a lot of the, if you're going to live stream something, it basically needs to have internet connectivity. You have to have something to get it out on the live stream. If you have, you know, something way out of the sticks that can't have good internet reliability, then that'd be a problem.

Robert N Rust [28:33] I got you. So central might be the best. All right. Okay. All right. And this is a Whopper, Clint, but you handle Whoppers all the time. If you could redesign Pennsylvania's election system, what would you do if you were sitting before the Pennsylvania legislature tomorrow? What are the three most important changes? Would you recommend to those legislators?

Clint Curtis [29:07] Do you have voter I.D. in Pennsylvania?

Jeannette Wrobel [29:09] No, only the first time that you show up, but people can use passports that have no drive.

Speaker not verified [29:15] No, no, no, no, no. You have protect voter right. Yes, exactly. Yes.

Clint Curtis [29:21] Yes. Okay. Yeah. First of all, you'll have voter I.D. You want to people show up, show who they are. You know, if you run precinct level now, if you run these huge vote centers, you lose all control. You don't know who anybody is and you don't know where they belong. So it's kind of just I think they resigned to make a mess because they make a mess.

Speaker not verified [29:43] You have no control over them. Go back to precincts.

Clint Curtis [29:47] Have your people check into precincts with voter I.D. If they can count them by hand, count them by hand. Most people can't because they don't even know how anymore for some reason. But if you do the video before they send in the machine, that will cure the machine. It also means that people are touching the paper, which is how we cut the counterfeits. Most election offices do not touch their paper. They grab them, stack them and send them through a machine and they never touch them again. So they're not going to find the paper that's too thick, the paper that's too rough, the paper that smells funny because there's no human involvement. So you've got to get to that level as well.

Clint Curtis [30:26] So get the people back in the process, push the machines to the background and we'll have an election you can trust.

Robert N Rust [30:34] I know you see a nod in your head and you agree. Anything further you would make on that point?

Jeannette Wrobel [30:42] No, I'm going to see what we can do to try to implement this. I am kind of confused still actually now that we're talking about it where the counts are. We do have the USBs. I know like some of the papers with mail-in ballots are scanned obviously at the election office. I know that when you go in the precinct you scan it, but I think even still that's saved on the USBs because they have to bring all the USBs back. So yeah, there's just a few things that I'm thinking of right now that I'm trying to put all together. But thank you. Yeah, I agree with them. I think those things can make a huge difference.

Clint Curtis [31:24] If you short-circuit the problem before it gets to the computer, which is before it gets to the USB, it's now out there. And if anybody counts it and the USB is off, there is going to be some 'splaining to do.

Robert N Rust [31:37] Okay. Mm-hmm. Yes. Yeah.

Clint Curtis [31:41] Everyone can count their own. You have to have a million dollar deposit to recount your race. You can just go in there and count it. So it eliminates all that additional cost and all that additional hassle of trying to see, you know, if you actually were elected or you were not.

Jeannette Wrobel [31:59] So sorry. I have one actually important question. I was just thinking of the tabulation receipts that are printed. There's so many that just won't refuse to print them here. They're told that the election office in the training says do not print them, don't show them, bring them back blank, don't sign them. So is there something there that we should be looking at specifically for on those tabulation receipts like the breakdowns? Is there anything important that's on that sheet?

Clint Curtis [32:31] There could be if they were legit. But back in, it depends on whether it's getting value on it, because the programmer prints that receipt. The programmer does everything. He prints the ballot statement. He prints the logs. If there is no log that exists that the programmer didn't build, there's nothing automatic in computers. You have to go in there and physically say, I want to record this. Anything that I build, I can change. And I can change date stamps. I can change everything. That's my level, right? So you've got to get all the information before it touches the machine, because once it touches the machine, it's not good for anything. Back in, I think it was 2000, there was a company called Diebold.

Clint Curtis [33:17] They make your ATMs, right? But they were in the election business, and they had logs that people got right after the elections, which didn't match the machine totals at all. Next year, you know how they fixed that? They stopped producing the logs.

Jeannette Wrobel [33:30] Yeah.

Clint Curtis [33:31] And that's all right. They say, we're not making them anymore.

Jeannette Wrobel [33:33] Yeah.

Clint Curtis [33:34] And what are you going to do about it? Right? Nothing. So we've lost control of our elections. We've got to get them back, back into the hands of the people, and then we get a chance.

Jeannette Wrobel [33:45] Awesome.

Robert N Rust [33:46] Jeanette, just one thought I know. It's kind of intimidating to think about, well, how do I get before, go to Harrisburg and get before a state legislature? We start local, and you've got, if we can identify, say, a state senator, I'm thinking one right now. Show him this video and say that you would like his assistance to get before the legislature and have him use the video. And anything else, Jeanette, that you've already developed on your own, thank goodness, for the time that you put into this.

Clint Curtis [34:22] I've had 30 years to play with it, right? I had to think about this. If they want to see it, they can pop out to Shasta County. If they need me to come there, just, you know, pay the freight and I'll pop out there.

Robert N Rust [34:38] There you go. There you go.

Jeannette Wrobel [34:40] Awesome.

Robert N Rust [34:41] He's already done it in Congress. He certainly can do it in Harrisburg.

Clint Curtis [34:45] I've been in Congress, Texas, Georgia, every place in the world, too.

Robert N Rust [34:49] Texas, you name it. You've been all over. We're coming to a close, but let me ask a question about the ballot drop boxes. There are those that argue that we ought to actually expand it because it is, they're safe and secure and it makes it easier for the voter. What do you say to that, Clint?

Clint Curtis [35:13] They are the least secure you could possibly have. If someone stuffs a ballot box, they have committed a state crime, which will probably never even be looked at. If they go in and they stuff a mailbox, they've now committed mail fraud. There is a possibility that they could be convicted of that for a federal crime as opposed to, oh, shame you shouldn't do that. So, it is terrible. You've got plenty of mailboxes around, use them. When I first came to Shasta County, there were 16, I think, of those stupid little drop boxes. I got it down to the four minimum I had to have because all of them, and I'm talking every one of them was less than 500 feet from a mailbox.

Clint Curtis [36:00] And yet everybody thought they had to have a drop box. And they're just bad.

Robert N Rust [36:06] Jeanette, keep in mind that offer from him.

Jeannette Wrobel [36:16] Oh, I will. Oh, I will. We're going to see what we can do here, but everything he's saying we're currently experiencing and we've been trying to fight for. And then they double down on us, too. So, it's kind of, you know, we get four feet ahead and then three feet backwards. So, yeah, I might have to take him up on that offer.

Robert N Rust [36:33] Yes, yes. Well, he's serious about it.

Speaker not verified [36:36] Good.

Robert N Rust [36:37] OK, you all have done a wonderful job. I want to ask each of you, knowing that this video is going to be used by Jeanette locally, that USA Citizens Network is going to be using it with all of it. It's going to use it to the extent that it can help him. What would be your concluding remarks? What do you want the people that are listening to really leave this session with to act upon? So, because you're the pro and have been there so long, I would have you start that if you would.

Clint Curtis [37:18] If you want to give people faith in their elections, let them control and see the election. Don't walk them out and then say, we don't understand why you don't blindly trust us. We can't blindly trust them to do anything. So why don't we blindly trust them with the thing that is the most important right you had in this whole country? It affects everything you do. And we've just handed over to the bureaucrats and say, we got this. You stay away.

Robert N Rust [37:48] Jeanette?

Jeannette Wrobel [37:50] I just can't stress enough that this is not a partisan issue.

Speaker not verified [37:53] This is an issue of both parties.

Jeannette Wrobel [37:56] And we the people need to get involved in both parties and get involved in the committees as committee men and statewide party. This has nothing to do with anything that is partisan.

Speaker not verified [38:07] It's completely against we the people.

Jeannette Wrobel [38:10] And it's happening in both parties, primaries and elections throughout the state, throughout the country. So I just encourage everyone on a local level, regardless of what party that you're with, please get involved. And that's pretty much it.

Robert N Rust [38:25] Both of you talking about we the people getting involved. Thank you both. It's been an excellent session.

Speaker not verified [38:32] And you both. Well, as you as you go forward.

Clint Curtis [38:39] Thank you, sir.

Speaker not verified [39:04] The.